

JFK Panel Q&A Discussion

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw> (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw>)

Uploaded by [The Future of Freedom Foundation](#) (<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCqOG4zIDmbIUK12Fzwg5tLA>) on Sun Jun 20 2021.

This is the finale to our online conference “The National Security State and the Kennedy Assassination.” To wrap things up, we had a long-form Q&A session primarily revolved around the political context of the assassination (as compared to the medical evidence in the autopsy that the U.S. national-security state conducted on Kennedy’s body). The panelists were Douglas Horne, Michael Swanson, John Newman, James DiEugenio, and Jacob Hornberger.

[00:00:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw)

[Music] i want to welcome everybody to the concluding session of our conference the national security statement kennedy assassination i'm jacob hornberger president of the future of freedom foundation the sponsor of this conference and it's been a real pleasure to host this conference my aim uh from the very beginning was to provide a conference that gave the ordinary person the educated layman the person who's not steeped in the kennedy assassination a presentation as to why some of us are so convinced that this was a regime change operation not a theory but an evidence-based presentation and i i believe we've accomplished that if you agree if you've liked what we've put together here i hope you'll share it with your friends all the presentations from the very beginning are on our website at fff.org they're also on youtube and so this concluding session here is going to be entirely almost entirely devoted to q a we've got some of the panelists that gave presentations with us the theme tonight is going to be the political context of the assassination and the way it's going to work is i'm going to briefly introduce every speaker i'm not going to do an extensive introduction like we did with our presentation and you all can go and check their their credentials at our website on the conference website but i want to give you um just a list of their books that's the way i'm going to introduce each speaker and after i introduce each speaker will have the option of giving some short opening remarks and that doesn't have to though he can waive those opening remarks and then once we finish with the introductions we'll go into q a the way q a will work is that i'll read the question if it's directed to a particular person that person will answer and then i'll ask if if anybody else would like to

[00:02:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=121s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=121s)

ask if if anybody else would like to weigh in on that question and so once we exhaust everybody that wants to weigh in on it we'll go to the next question and that'll be the procedure we'll follow through to the end so let's get started uh let me begin with doug horn doug is the author of inside the assassination records review board a five volume work also jfk's war with the national security establishment why kennedy was assassinated which is an fff book the future of freedom foundation and he's also the presenter on a multi-part video at the future freedom foundation's multimedia section of our website called altered history doug do you want to make any opening remarks oh yes i would thank you most people out there don't think i know how to do anything short but i'm going to surprise you here i think this will take me about three minutes three and a half minutes at the most this is what i'm going to be doing selective very selective very short readings from it's a great book about the development of the h-bomb and american policy in the 1950s in the early 60s so like i said i think this will only take three minutes here we go now here's the context i'm going to be talking about nuclear war and the gestalt of many in government who wanted nuclear war to eliminate the soviet union before it could become a significant nuclear threat they were very serious about this and yes this

affects the kennedy assassination quite a bit so the context is that in 1950 curtis lemay had identified 1954 as the year of maximum danger the critical year at which time we must be prepared to meet and effectively counter the full military force of the ussr so it's nsc 68 the policy document i

00:04:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=241s>)

so it's nsc 68 the policy document i spoke of they came up with that year as the year that they would have enough bombs to hurt us and then lemay jumped on that and endorsed it so i continued both civilian and military strategists had soberly discussed preventive war in the late 1940s before the soviet union tested its first atomic bomb as the year of maximum danger approached the question came up again for serious review within the u.s government now listen to this in the spring of 1953 a committee headed by retired air force general james doolittle that's jimmy doolittle of 30 seconds over tokyo a committee headed by retired air force general james doolittle proposed giving the soviet union a two-year deadline to come to terms and attacking it with a first strike if it failed to do so eisenhower promptly rejected this bizarre nuclear ultimatum it gets worse the question of preventive war came on to eisenhower for review in the spring of 1954. when a joint chiefs of staff advance study group briefed the president on a plan proposing that the u.s quote deliberately precipitate war with the ussr in the near future before the ussr could achieve a large enough thermonuclear capability to be a real menace to the continental us end quote now one of the people who stood up against this insanity was army chief of staff matthew ridgway the man who had relieved macarthur in korea now he's army chief of staff and he denounced it in this way as he

00:06:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=362s>)

and he denounced it in this way as he reported later quote at the end of the briefing the president invited comments and i stated that this presentation left me with but one clear impression which was that this group was advocating the deliberate precipitation of aggressive war by the us against the ussr that i thought this was contrary to every principle upon which our nation had been founded and which it continued to profess and that in my opinion it would be abhorrent to the great mass of the american people end quote well good for redway and then rhodes concludes he says eisenhower concluded the debate in late 1954 by issuing an updated basic national security policy statement quote the united states and its allies must reject the concept of preventive war or acts intended to provoke war end quote since preventive war was not an available remedy to the enlarging soviet capacity for a first strike sac strategic air command was authorized to plan for preemption for beating the soviet forces to the punch if intelligence indicated they were beginning a first strike so here's the problem with what lemay was up to in the 1950s the atomic energy commission was supposed to maintain custody on all nuclear bombs the trouble is they were guarded by the air force and air force guys had the key so as rhodes says here while building the strategic air command curtis lemay made persistent attempts to acquire control of u.s nuclear weapons throughout the 1950s independent of presidential authorization and he began these efforts in 1950 now in 1957 a member of the gather committee

00:08:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=480s>)

in 1957 a member of the gather committee basically the co-chair mr sprague uh he and jerome weissner who later became kennedy's science advisor they visited sac headquarters in omaha and here's the exchange that took place between lemay the head of sack still the head of sack in 57 and mr sprague of the gather committee the may said quote if i see that the russians are amassing their planes for an attack i'm going to knock the out of them before they take off the ground end quote sprague was shocked but generally countered that's not national policy sprague remembered lemay responding i don't care it's my policy that's what i'm going to do so we have some important parallels here between what happened with eisenhower and kennedy eisenhower rejects the concept of a first strike of preventive war in 1953 and in 1954 and then the name of the game with sac becomes okay if we can't do that we're going to be ready for a pre-emptive strike so the name of the game for sack in the 50s was to try to go the soviet union into reactions which would justify a preemptive strike so as the author says here sac flew daily intelligence missions over the soviet union throughout the 1950s including deliberately provocative overflights of soviet cities by squadrons

of aircraft in broad daylight and the hope was that they would react in such a way that they could provoke a preemptive strike by us now i'm almost finished what happens eight years later after 1954. president kennedy gets his first

00:10:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=600s>)

president kennedy gets his first briefing on the psyop the single integrated operational plan on july 20th 1961 they proposed to him preventive war the same thing that had been proposed to eisenhower they proposed to him a first strike on the soviet union two years later in 1963 during a period of heightened tensions he gets mad and walks out of the meeting so once again a president has rejected a first strike preventive war and then the name of the game once again for sac becomes a preemptive strike so i conclude by reading this passage from richard rhodes book listen carefully during the missile crisis the strategic air command put seven thousand megatons aloft and tried to provoke a soviet alert that would justify a u.s preemptive first strike that's what was going on with tommy power and curtis lemay on october 24th 1962 hoping the soviets would launch some major alert and that they could launch a preemptive attack and do what eisenhower wouldn't let them do so i conclude with this summary statement and this is the end uh rhodes adds a little bit more to this statement he says during the missile crisis sac put seven thousand megatons into the air menaced the soviet union with an unauthorized missile launch that was on friday october 26th and deliberately flew bombers toward soviet targets past their turnaround points the first and only direct nuclear confrontation between the superpowers was also very nearly the last so my point in in reading these passages is to say that the basic conflict

00:12:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=721s>)

is to say that the basic conflict between jack kennedy and the national security establishment many people in it is that their first option was war and for him it was the option of last resort not only that many of them actually desired nuclear war and uh we should be damn glad that jack kennedy was president in 1962 or we wouldn't be here to have this conference thank you okay thank you doug okay mike swanson is the author of the war state the cold war origins of the military-industrial complex and the power elite 1945-1963 his newest book why the vietnam war uh mike would you like to make any opening remarks yeah i i'll um i don't i want to be careful i don't want to make it a conversation between just the you know the speakers and get the audience to ask questions but so it'd be so easy for me to start to do that though um but i'll just make two two points that are kind of amplifying what what doug has just said and the first one may sound a little contradictory i wrote because i wrote a book about uh vietnam leading up uh to kennedy's decision to send advisors there and reading material you know after my book has ended i've come to a conclusion that you know we look at history backwards with everything that happened and how important vietnam became uh as you know after kennedy died um but in the joint chiefs of staff sent many memos to him especially immediately after he sent these advisers saying this isn't going to work we still expect you're going to have to send these troops and then in 1963 similar sorts of memos were sent but i've come to a conclusion that they actually didn't care that much

00:14:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=842s>)

actually didn't care that much about what was going on in vietnam itself and they cared about something different and that is the strategic power in position the united states was in relative to the soviet union in china and that involves nuclear weapons as as doug horn was speaking about and as an example this uh kennedy had very few meetings himself with the joint chiefs of staff in particular between the cuban missile crisis but between the bay of pigs and cuban missile crisis but he had a famous meeting with them during the cuban missile crisis and then about half a dozen to ten afterwards um through january and what's interesting about these meetings is only one was about vietnam the rest of them were about cuba in the one meeting about vietnam it occurred in january 63 and at this meeting curtis lemay made a comment that we're wasting our time in vietnam you know the nation didn't use the word nation building they're basically saying these programs aren't going to work and we just need to bomb north vietnam and bomb china if necessary so i

think that's the attitude they had vietnam was just you know one place in the world it wasn't really important what was going on in south vietnam it was only this big strategic picture and i think that's probably how they saw everything it was all about our power versus the rest of the world and the second point i'd make is that it's my belief um and i don't think i don't know if anyone's late put it this way but i believe that what happened on november

00:16:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=960s>)

believe that what happened on november 22nd in the week afterwards the week after kennedy was killed the the with some sort of crisis uh it would create kennedy's assassination created a crisis within the government within the uh executive branch of the government on how to deal with the assassination i mean the warren commission is obviously what happened but uh in that sense but also there was a direction to suddenly make vietnam the number one priority uh for lyndon johnson when it wasn't that case for kennedy uh johnson sent a memo to the joint chiefs of staff saying this is now our number one priority when kennedy never would have said that so something caused johnson to do that um and i think it's tied up somehow with the events in november november uh 22 second and this is his uh reaction to it unless you assume unless someone's gonna assume he knew about it was part of it i i don't uh so i think it's all sort of a after the fact part of reacting to the assassination and having to direct the energy that was that caused it into the vietnam war or what became the vietnam war okay thank you uh jim de eugenio is the author of the jfk assassination and destiny betrayed jim you would like to make an opening statement yeah uh i'll again i'll try and make this brief i mean most people who follow my website kennedy's in king or have seen my lectures know that for about the last eight years i've been working on things outside of vietnam and cuba i've been trying to shed some light on

00:18:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=1080s>)

i've been trying to shed some light on other things foreign policy-wise that kennedy was involved with so i think what happened this week in gaza is a democrat example of one of the changes that happened after kennedy was killed that very few people talk about all right and in fact at truth out the truth out website this week they interviewed uh you know darth vader alias noam chomsky and he said that you know for a long time prior to johnson okay the americans the american polity supported the islamic fundamentalists which is utterly false when it comes to kennedy because kennedy made a deliberate break with john foster dulles and he was trying to make a reproachment with nasser who was the number one secularist leader in the entire middle east and in fact dulles the dulles brothers feared nasser because of his pan-arabist appeal nobody before or since ever had that kind of appeal so that's again there's another example of a complete distortion you know by the professional linguist all right now the problem with that with kennedy's attempt to do this with nasser was that the saudis despised nasser i mean the saudis despised nasser almost as much as israel did okay because of his pan-arabist threat he believed that the petroleum underneath the sand belonged to all the arabs so if he was able to forge a pan-arabist union okay it would it would really upset the whole saudi economy especially the royal family so they did everything

00:20:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=1201s>)

the royal family so they did everything they could to thwart that idea which they ended up successfully doing with the war in yemen all right now the other problem that kennedy had was with israel and the whole demona reactor thing which i think many of us know about i don't have to go into that you know uh very strongly but what i would believe that if kennedy had lived there would have been a much different picture in the middle east i think most of us know that johnson completely switched back on that that policy kennedy was pursuing especially during the 1967 war okay so anyway that's a little thing that i wanted to talk about because i think it's uh it illuminates a different aspect of kennedy's policy which most people ignore okay uh john newman is the author of jfk in vietnam where angels tread lightly count down to darkness and into the storm john so my forthcoming vol 4 armageddon uh is going to take a deep dive several chapters into the what doug was talking about the widespread u.s xenophobic state of stupor that followed the advent

of nuclear the nuclear age nuclear weapons um that sort of short-sighted zero-sum logic of the bomb throughout the cold war was crazy just crazy the logic was because we have discovered the these are full hydrogen bombs we have to totally destroy russia and china because they will inevitably have them too anyway um dubs covered that uh very well and and probably will answer those questions this evening i'm going to begin again with what i ended uh when we were last together with my operating hypothesis about the cabal behind the kennedy

[00:22:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1320s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1320s)

the cabal behind the kennedy assassination but i'm prepared if questions are put to me to do to talk about some other things and i'm not going to go into them i'll just tell you what they are and they're all major parts of new uh material in volume four i would like to discuss breaking new ground on from khrushchev's point of view that the covert and inextricably intertwined problems of the 61 berlin and 62 cuban missile crises i could also i would be interested if if somebody wants to ask uh for more to offer a new and provocative interpretation that holds that the chiefs knew very early on about the coming missile deployment in cuba but because the chiefs wanted war with the soviet union in china they purposely kept it a secret from kennedy in his inner circle to prevent him from stopping the deployment until he was up against the wall of the missiles being prepared for use against the united states and another area i would be willing to delve into is to offer some compelling new evidence that in may 1963 the pentagon began secretly preparing to replace kennedy's withdrawal from vietnam with their own op plan 34a at full-scale american intervention in vietnam back in may um so those are the things that i'm willing to talk about um but i'm interested to hear what questions are what everybody else has to say okay i will be both the moderator and a panelist since i was one of the presenters i'm the author of the kennedy autopsy the kennedy autopsy two regime change the jfk assassination and the evil of the national security state all of which have been published by the future of freedom foundation i want to just emphasize one point in the timeline that i did not point out during my presentation

[00:24:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1441s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1441s)

point out during my presentation remember i i told you all that johnson had expressed concern at parkland and then on his way to dallas love field after kennedy was declared dead of the possibility that this assassination was a first step in a nuclear attack by the soviet union and then but he gets to uh to parkland and his actions belie that concern they they actually confirm that he was lying about that concern well the timeline is very interesting that the jfk is declared dead at 1 00 pm johnson leaves parkland 26 minutes later at 1 26. he doesn't depart love field to maryland until 2 46 p.m that means he is there lala gagging on the ground for an hour and 45 minutes now if there was any concern at all a one-quarter percent chance that johnson was concerned that this could be the first strike first step in a nuclear strike there is no way that he's going to be on the ground waiting for those nukes to come in obviously they would have been coming into dallas that they would be trying to decapitate the government by eliminating both the president the vice president so so this is a man that is lollygagging and there's two important things to know about to realize about this one is that he's lying about his purported concern about being the first strike the possibility of a first strike in a nuclear attack secondly his actions are consistent with a man that is absolutely certain that this is not the first step in a soviet nuclear attack which is very incriminating because how can he be that certain as to just lollygag for an hour and 45 minutes his job and and i take issue here with my i believe johnson was up to his neck in this thing not in the planning or the the orchestrating of it his job was to get

[00:26:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1560s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1560s)

orchestrating of it his job was to get that body out of parkland at all costs and into the hands of the military and that's why he was there waiting on the ground at love field he was waiting for that body and all the rest of it is a charade the swearing in and so forth so those that's my introductory mark why don't we now go and do q a let's see now first one doug love all your videos what's the status of the sydney wilkinson tom whitehead digital analysis of the zebruder film weren't they going to produce a documentary anxiously awaiting doug

okay uh doug you're muted dug your music doug you're muted doug we can't hear you doug we can't hear you i've unmuted for the third time okay stop doing it if you're in and let me unmute okay great uh they still intend to finish their documentary it's many years behind schedule uh some health issues have gotten in the way with both of them but also mostly it's a funding issue so if somebody wants to see the sydney wilkinson tom whitehead documentary on the z film as much as i do help them with funding it's not cheap to produce a documentary all i'll say now is to conclude my answer is that they've talked to i think 72 different people in hollywood who think the film's been altered and only two or three that that are in denial of that that's a pretty statistic all right anybody else wish to weigh in on that okay let's go to the next one um well he makes a long comment let's just go directly to the question how did dr fink get selected as one of

[00:28:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1680s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1680s)

how did dr fink get selected as one of the pathologists that night and why i guess doug you're probably the best one to answer that one i wish i could remember the name someone had called dr humes before the autopsy started and suggested to him that dr fink would be available if needed i'd his name might have been smith or my memory could be wrong it might have been somebody at the afip which would make sense that's where fink was stationed at the time uh so i i think it was someone at afip but i'm not real sure about the name and then just to finish my answer it was at eight o'clock p.m when humes had a set of developed skull x-rays that he had already examined that he finally called fink at eight o'clock at night and said i've got a good set of skull films which meant they're developed and i've just looked at him would you come down and help us uh so that's all i can say about that tonight okay anybody else all right uh is there any chance that president biden might order a release of the jf romanian jfk files at the national archives in october 21. uh anybody want to weigh in on that well uh we have a very good article about this up at kennedys and king by florida attorney mark adamchik who's working on this with two or three other lawyers in the washington new york area i'm also preparing a proposed op-ed that i'm going to write to the wall street journal okay about this very subject you know since i i think that what trump did i believe after studying the statute

[00:30:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1801s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1801s)

i believe after studying the statute was a violation of not just the spirit but of the letter of the law and mark has done a very good examination of that and you can read his article on that and i i don't believe it's proper for joe biden who has bobby kennedy's bust in his oval office and just moved john kennedy's picture from a boston museum into his study off the oval office and was very good friends with ted kennedy i don't think it's proper for him to continue this fiasco about this 15 800 other documents 50 some years 58 years after kenny was assassinated still to be redacted either in part or in a whole i think it's time for this to end anybody else okay did oswald actually go to mexico city or do we know you're gonna have all debate about that jacob one thing about that and that is i don't know but but the an interesting thing about it though is there is a book um let me see what i'm going to get one hell of a gamble um written by timothy naphtali and a russian author uh porsche yeah for senko that had acts and he had access to kgb records and so forth and the book makes an argument he make he claims you know and unfortunately i don't speak russian and i don't know anyone who's seen these documents but anyway this russian author it makes the claim that in the hours after the assassination uh the kgb wrote a report sent it to khrushchev and

[00:32:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1920s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=1920s)

wrote a report sent it to khrushchev and was convinced it was some sort of conspiracy and what convinced them was the oswald trip to mexico city and some letter he supposedly sent to the russian embassy so whatever happened at mexico city with oswald made apparently the kgb's eyes go up uh after the assassination they'd does that mean they didn't think he was there or they thought an imposter was there or something was not up and up on it i think that's the simplest conclusion we can make at least i can you know yeah john so i think that the the question takes us away quite far from what really matters uh that we know for a fact happened down there oswald whether he was the real oswald or an imposter was impersonated because the oswald talking in the phone calls talked about a completely different perspective than what we

know was going on inside the embassy the russian embassy in the soviet consulate so the f and the what and to to go one step beyond that why would that happen it seems very apparent that they wanted oswald to at least get a visa to go to cuba we had a lot of cuban airline pilots take for us and so as long as we had him on the manifest that would mean he he could have been to cuba and there was all these other things have been put in place saying it was great to see oswald and cube he was walking down the street and and we four or five examples the uh some letters were written to to him from somebody down there talking about it was great to see you um so the idea of the real oswalder and apostle going down there was to make it look to tai oswald to the kgb

00:34:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=2040s>)

make it look to tai oswald to the kgb and and to castro the idea was he was going to the soviet union through cuba which was illegal as of what was put in his passport so yeah so he goes down there but the point is he fails whether it's him or the impostor he does not get the visa and he stays there three days and does not succeed and so that's the point the point is to take uh attention away from what the warren uh excuse me for what for what the warren commission is going to be fed which is that oswald did not act alone he acted in in fact in the employ of castro and the kgb but this was at the secret level this is what was being put out by the warren commission publicly this was used at the top secret level this is what the nsa was told this is what other uh intelligence agencies were told so they're it it just to say that only thing i really care about is whether it's the real oswald or an imposter who went down there doesn't get you into what the purpose of the whole thing was yeah let me weigh on the high oswald the caster on the kgb yeah i i want to second that and reinforce it that as part of this world war three cover story that they were having to sell they had to establish as as i stated in my presentation last week they had to show that this wasn't just a standalone communist who had gotten his degree in communism at some american university they had to show that the shots fired from the front were connected to the soviet union and cuba in order to create this sense of oh my gosh we're looking at nuclear war and so it was really an advertising campaign of hey look at this guy he's making this big hubbub he was there the the head of assassinations at the

00:36:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=2160s>)

the the head of assassinations at the soviet union he met with him and so forth and then if if you go back to the previous question i want to put it in the context of that too the remaining files you're never going to find a confession that you know nothing like that that the the cia from the very beginning their rule was never put any assassination plans into writing so the secret files that are still secret they don't have that kind of smoking gun but i'm convinced that mexico city is part of this remaining files because everything went wrong with that part of the operation they showed up with a photograph that of a guy that was supposed to be oswald and it wasn't the cia says oh well our video equipment or our camera equipment was broken that weekend they had a an audio tape recording that then suddenly said oh hoover tells johnson well it turns out it's not oswald talking it's not his voice then they lose the the audio tape and then they deny it was ever existing you've got hardway and lopez in the 70s with the house select committee getting blocked by george joe anitas from getting mexico city records my hunch is that those secret records left there have mexico city big time in there and that's one of the big reasons they are so scared and i have i said this several years ago they're never going to just agree to release these records in october 21 and i believe biden's going to do whatever they want them to do let me follow up some more on that there's two parts to this one part that happens in mexico which starts as a failure but succeeds only when they impersonate him on the phone and tie him to the russian embassy and the soviet uh uh excuse me and the cuban consulate but there is a corresponding entities back at cia headquarters where all of oswald's records since before he got back to the united states in 62 were removed and placed in

00:38:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=2280s>)

were removed and placed in not in his tool one file which is where they should have been they were replaced and they were placed in a cuban file uh um uh the fpcc file and this included everything he had done to create his cuban legend and uh the purpose of removing all that oswald information and hiding it same thing had happened by the way during the defection in 59 all of this the incoming stuff on oswald was removed not placing this 201 and hidden in the security office for another reason at the time kennedy was it was quite alive at that time but but when this happens um and the shots ring out uh kennedy's dead and all of a sudden everybody goes running down the halls in the ci because they remember working on oswald a whole bunch of people in in five or six sections of the central intelligence agency have been working on on oswald now a lot of this stuff was was uh destroyed the official file early but the problem they had with that is everybody who was working on had their own copies of their desks we were managing to get the whole file back together again but here's the here's the situation when they open those triple combination safes guess what they see they see a really bad guy that we knew about and didn't do anything for for months afterwards and it panics the the fbi uh and the cia because this is not an institutional uh uh we haven't even got to what i think about who's behind this thing but but um it what happened in mexico city was supplemented by the arrangement of oswald's files to look like he was a working for the kgb and castro but they had to suppress that otherwise the fbi would have taken him off the parade route because we was all in in cables going from mexico city up to the headquarters they could not

00:40:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=2401s>)

up to the headquarters they could not let that come out until 1122-63 and that was angleton's job to do that now it's so there's a there's a lot deeper these guys knew what they were doing and warren fell for it he he tried not to he didn't want to do that until um johnson threatened him with 40 million dead americans he said i just pulled out a little story about mexico city and he cried now earl warren told that same story on tv wta television public television in washington d.c the only change was he didn't say cry but he said well mr president if you think it's that bad i guess i'll have to take the job and he did okay anyone else want to weigh in on that yeah well john's talking about the geeseling flash warning that that yeah was it that october 20 yeah the october 8th is that it comes off what happened inside the fbi so everybody's turning down uh every so in the fbi they turn they turn off the flash warning every body anything comes in on oswald's supposed to go to a to the counter intelligence branch there and it doesn't right the same thing happens at the cia the suppression of the osw files there tone everything down and so the guy who has to answer the cables from mexico city has no idea that he was tied to a kgb guy down there you know he had they didn't let him see any of those things so the fight he didn't lie the files lied to him john celso i'm talking about so it was it was across the board everybody had to turn off the the flashing red lights for this thing to work i called it the suppression of the virus in 11 22 63 like a virus that balloons up and and you know the rest of the story see they danny and eddie who jacob was refried if people who don't know who those people are that eddie lopez and danny hardaway were the two authors of the mexico city

00:42:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=2520s>)

were the two authors of the mexico city report all right now at one of his very few talks that danny gave all right uh i think this was in uh in pittsburgh he said something i i didn't know he said they had actually written up indictments for good pastor for and good pastor and phillips for for perjury but the committee would not pass those on to the justice department and there's something else i think that's important to stress here i think we all remembered richard sprague the attorney who was the first chief counsel for the house select committee didn't last very long like about eight months all right until he was forced to leave this subject very very much interested him all right and in fact if you take a look at his first examination of phillips which surprisingly early in the game he gets almost right to this subject he wants to know okay exactly how did this thing work who actually got the tapes who actually transcribed the tapes how did they get sent to headquarters if you if you if something was really important he's really right on to this thing he suspected that phillips was lying about these transcripts and that he had actually dolled up the transcript and one of the last very last things he did is he flew down to mexico city and he met the pterosaurs who were the transcribers

and he took their typewriter and he brought it up to washington because he was going to have it forensically examined that's that's how deep sprague was into this thing and the reason he was so interested in this to to make a long story short is that he he did not understand why the warren commission didn't believe sylviodio

00:44:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=2640s>)

commission didn't believe sylviodio because he found her very credible and there's this problem of if it's oswald up in dallas and silvio's door who's on this bus down to mexico city so this is the problem that he had as a criminal lawyer you can't be in two places at one time i'm always going to believe i will always believe that if sprague would have stayed on as the chief counsel history would have been different okay uh let's see next question this examination has at least shown a problem with the kennedy autopsy and the assassination in general neither his own brother robert kennedy or his good friend robert mcnamara made an issue of the assassination claims that only one person lee rv oswald was the one responsible they had to have doubts and yet they did nothing it's not a question just a observation does anybody want to comment on that okay next question i'll make a comment on it okay okay and this is i'm gonna answer another uh i see another question that's related to mcnamara so i'm gonna try to answer both of them from the same person let me go ahead and read it then uh president kennedy was against involvement in vietnam his good friend robert mcnamara was all was a chief architect of the war why yeah um i'm going to say something that again i don't know if anyone has put this forth exactly but if you look at max you know people it look on one hand if you look at the second question you know there's obviously an escalation in vietnam when lbj's president and we know what happens after that uh so is robert mcnamara's behavior consistent uh and i think it is uh on one and one if you look at it this way

00:46:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=2760s>)

way uh from mcnamara's from the very beginning of kennedy's presidency um mcnamara actually had one priority above all others and kenneth that he shared of kennedy and that was not to have a nuclear war um and they worked on changing the psyop and they started to get rock mcmurra and daniel ellisberg actually got him to do it and a couple other staffers to get involved in issues of nuclear weapons targeting and so forth and if you look at the cuban missile crisis uh mcnamara helps push the idea of having a blockade as a way to as an alternative to invading cuba which is an alternative to having a big war and i think mcnamara's actions in the kennedy administration and the johnson administration is seen in this perspective of trying to limit um war uh makes sense to me so for example when johnson's president the joint chiefs of staff are proposing escalations of uh in 1964 that could have led to war uh bombing north vietnam bombing china and mcnamara uh takes actions to yes they escalate the war but not to the extent that the joint chiefs of staff desire and the very last meeting mcnamara has this has come out in a book a couple years ago wrote a disaster the author interviews clark clifford who takes mcnamara's place and there's a meeting the very last meeting uh it had been said that mcnamara had some sort of nervous breakdown and what this book reveal reveals

00:48:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=2881s>)

and what this book reveal reveals for the first time is that mcnamara had an argument with walter rostow who is johnson's national security advisor and other people in the room and said that the vietnam war was slipping out of control uh the uh 10 offenses have broken out there were the chairman of the joint chiefs of staff and general westmoreland were edging for more forces uh even asking to use tactical nuclear weapons in uh if necessary and mcnamara was saying things are getting out of control and almost begging clifford to make sure they don't get out of control and i think that's the consistent thread and all you know his term is secondary defense and if you that way then him not wanting to investigate the people behind the assassination or just say nothing about it i think makes sense i think i think in my opinion i know other people want to chime in on this i think mcnamara was fully cognizant of what happened after kennedy's assassination and i think that's why he commissioned the pentagon papers all right and i also think that's the

reason that he kept it secret from johnson all right i think i think mcnamara was very very disturbed by the end of 1966 about what had happened in vietnam and he realized and i and john can talk about this but in his debrief from the pentagon he said that he and kennedy had decided in 1962

00:50:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3001s>)

in 1962 that all they could do was an advisory mission you know that we could not fight the war for them and then that all changed and i think john deserves a lot of credit for his talks with mcnamara which i think ended up provoking that book in retrospect and in john's revised edition of jfk and vietnam he talks about that all right yeah what happened there was um i got so frustrated with mcnamara because he wouldn't he wouldn't talk to me especially about the lies that were told in in 62 the masek deaf conference um and i had it all in writing and all the interviewed all the people were there i'm going to go through this part quickly because i want to really answer the question more directly but um so um in the end um i he did call me call me up and ask me to come down and talk to him and so i did and uh and we i was in he was i was in uniform he's still you know the secretary of defense i actually saluted when i went in there and and we had a we had a fight and uh you know i i told them diplomatically as i could that everybody else is has written their memoirs about this you're the only person and you you served under two presidents we get we get uh you know little snippets of stuff but somebody said this in a car you know you've got to do this and and he got real mad and and uh i'll for not go into what always said there but but the time by the time it was over the uh we were more friendly when i left but i was still mad uh that he uh

00:52:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3120s>)

but i was still mad uh that he uh apparently wasn't going to write a memoir so i called up sterling lord because i was going to do a book called kennedy cuba and and i said he's a he's a big uh you know uh uh a producer uh in new york he um was my literary agent at the time and i said sterling i want to change the title of my book he said to what i said mcnamara in vietnam he said i don't think he ought to do that i said well why because mac merit just called this morning he's going to write his book so you're right that pushed him over the edge we ended up working together on the book uh and there were some strange things that are in there as you know you can read that but i want to go back to the question of consistency on vietnam okay because i think this is a very important uh point um and and mcnamara knew he was was had he had been bushwhacked um so in may of 1963 um general taylor who was a trojan horse inside the kennedy inner circle and always had been and i've got a whole chapter on this in volume four um he turned down this uh supreme allied uh commander in europe position when kennedy offered to him and he turned down the head of the cia because he wanted to be chairman of the joint chiefs of staff he had lost his control of vietnam policy as is kennedy's advisor because he came back in uh in late 61 uh when kennedy told him not to recommend combat troops with a recommendations of combat troops acting as uh under flood release task force kennedy got really mad and said all right you're done now who's i want somebody to you know who's going to be my guy on vietnam you know and and mcnamara put his hand up he said you know it's on me and so but it wasn't over because there

00:54:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3240s>)

and so but it wasn't over because there was a and sam 111 created the mac military system command vietnam a new command structure and they had to have a new chief chief of mcv and taylor succeeded in putting his protege in there harkins and so that's why in 62 he makes sure to take the job as chairman of the joint season staff now you've got him as the chairman of the joint chiefs and you've got his guy in there in vietnam and what happens is taylor begins working with um uh lieutenant general victor cruz the marine guy whose set was as uh you know special operations assistant uh high up in the pentagon and he began working uh with taylor and the uh felt the commander commandership pacific on plan 34a what became our plan 34a massive american intervention in vietnam back in may of 1963 and at the same time planning to gut kennedy's withdrawal plan those two things were put into play in big time by the time the mcnamara taylor mission got back at the end of september and early october and so for the next six weeks

before kennedy's death and the uh issuing of and sam 263 which was the basically the order to start the withdrawal right away and everybody out in 65 um what they do behind mcnamara's back is to gut the real units that were going to be taken out by the end of 1963 and at the same time behind mcnamara's back to begin uh putting into play all that was going to be happening under our plan 34a mcnamara was not told about any of this stuff neither was kennedy so because of the gm assassination there has to be a reevaluation of policy

00:56:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3360s>)

has to be a reevaluation of policy um and so they have a big meeting in honolulu it's scheduled for 20 november 1963 and um so finally the joint chiefs tell the truth they put that down on the table situation is terrible we're not winning we're not winning the war and uh and so mcnamara was on this put on the spot colby was sitting right next to him at the table and it was trying to um get him to push back against uh what uh taylor was putting on the table there which was 34a you know uh desoto raids along the north vietnamese coast to turn on their e-link capabilities so we could paint them and use that to go in anyway those very desoto rages you know ended up with the gulf of tonkin uh situation which gave us the gulf of tonkin resolution and full-scale american intervention in vietnam so mcnamara as soon as he found out what happened which was right after that point he fired harkins that's important if he was still if he was on if he had changed his mind or changed his stripes like a comedian because he was not working with johnson he wouldn't have done that he wouldn't let harkin stay stay right there but he wasn't he was pissed off and so um he began doing things and you're right as far as you know start the pentagon papers but he was doing other things making comments that that were just not in in line with what johnson wanted and basically johnson offered him to resign or he he would be some early fire which is what he really was uh but there were days when when jackie would come in uh to mcnamara's office and pound on his chest pound on his chest literally saying why why why more deaths uh you know he went through through all that too so i i think he was loyal that's why he was the only person kennedy told about a secret plans to get out he didn't tell rusk he didn't tell anybody else but they figured it out okay taylor

00:58:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3482s>)

but they figured it out okay taylor figured it out they they understood that he was he was lying now too he was going to use the the myth of success judo style oh we're doing good fine we can come home now that's the way they were going to so they were not going to let him get away with they put the truth on the table 48 hours before the assassination by the way he didn't tell bundy that particular piece of time uh from mid 62 to kennedy's death and what happened afterwards uh i i think there is a consistency i'm agreeing with with eugene there's a consistency here um in not an inconsistency on on vietnam see he didn't tell bundy either no there's a there's a marvelous october 6 tape that jamie galbraith got declassified on the advice of his father okay in which mcnamara and kennedy are talking about getting out of vietnam and mcnamara is actually describing it and bundy actually blurts out what's all this about and mcnamara says words of the effect we we're getting out of vietnam we have this gives us an opportunity to get out of vietnam and bunny doesn't know what they're talking about and there's a marvelous book by gordon goldstein called lessons in disaster which is about was going to be undies in retrospect but bundy passed away but goldstein went ahead and finished the book anyway and as bundy is looking through this dialogue which he hadn't seen in decades and and goldstein asked him where's mcnamara coming from with this stuff and bundy said it's from kennedy kennedy made him the stalking horse for his withdrawal plan secretary of state dean rusk uh i was on tour

01:00:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3602s>)

dean rusk uh uh i was on tour going down through uh georgia where he was from and on public television down there you know he wanted to debate me on this issue and he said oh no no no no kenny wasn't getting out of vietnam he never said a word about it to me and i said mr secretary that doesn't look too good for you does it i mean you wouldn't care about the conversation and and bundy bundy when he looked at this you

know he told he had nothing but admiration for what kennedy had done you know because he he he he said words of the effect he'd gone around me because he knew i was too hawkish okay no soon enough but he was loyal and i know that because of the first edition of nsam 273 which um did not embrace up plan 34a it said we're going to intensify the war effort using only south vietnamese resources that was paragraph seven that tells me he was trying to craft bundy was trying to craft an end sam that he thought his boss could approve the next day okay and then of course kennedy's killed and bundy has to take a new copy of an sam around to see what people are going to do with it and paragraph 7 has two big slashes through it i said who told you to do that and he said johnson told me to do that so if if he were disloyal he probably wouldn't have done that but it tells me that he was a he was hawkish but he was still loyal to his boss he did not and and you can you can read that in the in the aunt sam's and that's that's in the book but anyway we're we're cruising off point i think we've got other questions that duck horn wants to say something look like okay dad thanks uh those are great answers from you guys uh as a presenter jacob it looks like i cannot type in a

[01:02:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3721s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3721s)

cannot type in a a q a question i can read other people's questions i can't type one in so sometime tonight since john newman indicated a willingness to discuss it sometime tonight i would like to ask john newman to discuss the possible indicators that the joint chiefs and others had early knowledge that the soviets were that the soviets were considering putting missiles in cuba before they had the official meetings about it in may all right well let's let's set that aside in in the context of what we're doing here we only have 40 questions left jacob [Laughter] um we'll be done by two two in the morning i want to weigh in on the bobby kennedy aspect of this i think it's a virtual certainty that that johnson got to bobby by reading them in on this world war iii scenario and saying look you have cost your brother his life you're over there with operation mongoose trying to get rid of castro the cia's been trying to assassinate him and castro got your brother first and now you better come on board because we're looking at the prospects of nuclear war because it would be the perfect way to enlist bobby kennedy into the into silence here and notice that that kennedy's deputy attorney general nicholas katzenbach three days after the assassination sends this this memo out to moyers in the johnson white house saying we have to convince the american people that oswald's the only guy that did this and didn't have confederates and as i said in my presentation how in the heck does he know that three days after the assassination there's no way the only way he's the reason he's trying to shut it down is because of this prospect of world war three and i think it's a virtual certainty that bobby was read in on that now later i think he probably started figuring out that johnson had snookered him but you know this is a difficult case the autopsy fraud didn't really become manifest until the arb

[01:04:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3840s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3840s)

until the arb in the 90s so there was no real evidence for bobby kennedy to point to there and on the assassination itself they did a very good job of keeping things secret there so it would have been difficult for bobby no matter how convinced he was to start saying the cia and the pentagon did this well you know the captain back memo was actually the second draft because hoover actually wrote one that is pretty much the same thing the night before cats and back wrote his okay so in in my and what what i think is happening there is that johnson and hoover are kind of taking over the cover-up within a couple of days after the assassination you know they don't want things to spin out of control okay and and so they're kind of uh making sure we have to confine this thing to to this oswald guy or this whole mexico city thing might blow up okay that that's kind of the way i i i look at those two memos the hoover memorandum and then the captain back memorandum yeah okay let's keep going uh doug horn robert knutson's family all agreed that six months before he died in 1989 he testified before an inquiry with regards to his role in the autopsy or what he thought was the autopsy do we know what this inquiry was about well that's a great question uh we we tried to find out just from within the government records what was there a government body in 88 that looked into the assassination of any kind because he told his family it was on capitol hill whatever that means uh and we couldn't find a record of anybody and then his uh his widow since then had

[01:06:04 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3964s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=3964s)

and then his uh his widow since then had married someone else but his widow gloria said well she would try to find the the uh the letter that he had received from this body on capitol hill whatever that means telling him to come and appear and then she couldn't find the letter so no we couldn't figure out what that was and someone suggested it might have been some inquiry uh by william weld and i don't know if that's true or not i really don't know no we couldn't figure out what body it was that he spoke before so it was very frustrating okay next question how do we interpret arthur crock's article in the new york times october 363 suggesting that a coup in the u.s would come from the cia and not the pentagon anybody want to weigh in on that or should we keep going okay let's keep just briefly to say that none of that was his work he's cut he's copying an article by david starnes a day or two before that in another newspaper so he's just parroting what another writer said david starnes and it's that guy's opinion uh and we speculate on he quotes a high-level official in the government as saying this and everybody assumes later that maybe that's the president himself saying if there's a coup it's going to be the c.i.a but we really don't know who the high-level official was and it's just one man's opinion and i think if we think of the assassination in that way now as if it was solely a cia action the old mark lane way of looking at it i think we're hamstringing ourselves and making a mistake it's uh correct it's richard starnes thank you okay yeah thank you thank you okay uh next question probably directed to john newman what do we know about the relationship between alan dulles and the men who would become

01:08:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=4081s>)

alan dulles and the men who would become the joint chiefs both contemporaneous to the jfk assassination and earlier in history particularly lemnitzer that's a great question um well if you go back in history you're going to find lannister on board with dulles opposing uh roosevelt and actually uh working behind roosevelt's back to to on the issue of what to do about germany after the war and so uh and that that disagreement was actually even churchill versus roosevelt so you find that um in that instance alan dulles and limits or general lemnitzer at that time uh working for general alexander uh who was head of the entire mediterranean theater they'd come across africa with eisenhower up the boot and so on anyway um the same thing happens later you'll find uh lemnitzer as the chairman of the joint chiefs of staff and dulles as the head of the say opposing um president kennedy so it's an astute question to ask there's a lot of stuff that happens in between on those continuums but um they're they're lining up usually against uh um certainly in kennedy's case the guy who's for peace okay uh okay this question comes up often uh it is said that three weeks after jfk signed an executive order to eliminate the federal reserve jfk was dead and lyndon johnson one of his first acts was to destroy the executive order eliminating the federal reserve i've seen the context being silver

01:10:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=4201s>)

i've seen the context being silver certificates and things like that you know my my answer to these kind of things is always don't get distracted by them that there's one irrefutable fact in the kennedy assassination that no one can refute and that is that the national security establishment carried out the autopsy and that once you once you conclude and i think the conclusion is inescapable that this was a frauds and an autopsy it leads directly to the national security establishment there's no there's no innocent explanation for a frauds on an autopsy but i'll let you guys weigh in on this do you all think that this is a factor in this to say assassination that's been misrepresented that was that that is not what many people think it is that he's going to take us off you know the gold standard it's a that was a technical correction that went back several years okay i've done some reading on this okay so uh let's not let's not take that as a reason for kennedy's assassination that's it because that's that's not what it's that's not what it was really about all right to make a short answer of it okay uh let's see doug your deposition of pierre fink is incredible i would rather spend a night with an alligator in a phone booth than to have to question fink how did you keep from laughing i had to bite my cheeks a couple of times on the inside of my mouth to keep from laughing uh it was bizarre uh let me first point out just in case we got any newbies that you you were on the staff of the assassination records

review board and that you along with the general counsel jeremy gunn were conducting this deposition of fink so go ahead okay well there were only about two questions he answered directly they were very important ones thank goodness but most of the time all

01:12:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4321s>)

thank goodness but most of the time all he could say is i can't answer that i ca i can't answer that and we're thinking what what does that mean does that mean you're forbidden does that mean you're afraid do you suddenly have a speech impediment what does that mean uh uh and at one point yes it's true he he jumped up out of his chair and started hopping around on one leg and massaging the other leg while he's hopping around like a bird and i guess his leg had fallen asleep or something he could remember what he was doing in 1948 in the summer time but he couldn't remember much about 1963 which was very strange but at least he answered two important questions that made the whole exercise worthwhile and the first one was uh when was the brain exam was it just a couple of days after the autopsy you know was it a day or two or three days after the autopsy and he says no no that was that's too soon it was uh one or two weeks later you know so that was a meaningful answer and then the other answer was uh about the ap skull x-ray the front to back anterior posterior skull x-ray did you see this bright white object that you see on the x-ray now did you see this object on the x-ray which looks like a bullet fragment did you see that on the x-ray the night of the autopsy and he said no he didn't say i don't know or i can't remember or i can't answer that he said no so in spite of all the difficulties and all the time he said all the times he said i can't answer that it was still worthwhile thank you yeah well my recollection from your book doug is that is that you were able to use that testimony to establish along with the fact that there were different participants at the brain exams that there were two separate brain

01:14:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4440s>)

exams that there were two separate brain examinations yes that was a big part of it uh he he was quite sure that it was there's no way it was a few days after the autopsy and of course his notes to general bloomberg confirmed that yeah and the first one is stringer had established were just a couple of days after the autopsy two and a half days later right yeah okay uh doug this is to you how do you analyze jim jenkins statement that when the body arrived into the morgue he stayed with it until the formal autopsy started at 8 pm his timeline he uses his dennis davis 6 35 pm anti-room delivery of the shipping casket when would pre-autopsy surgery be completed and where okay let me answer this carefully every one of these people that we interview who's talking about events 30 years before 35 years before or even longer depends on when you interview them they remember some things much better than others and all of them to some extent have some memory emerge going on uh so i can only conclude that jenkins is wrong and it's not because he disagrees with some theory of mine i'll give you two reasons why i conclude he's wrong about that about that one thing there are two rock-solid witnesses to seeing post-mortem surgery on the president's skull and one is tom robinson of galler's funeral home who told both the house select committee staff and the review board i saw the doctors saw the skull open to get the brain out and they removed the brain after they saw the skull open and then ed reed the x-ray technician told us at his review board deposition that he saw dr humes take a scalpel like this right behind

01:16:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4561s>)

take a scalpel like this right behind the hairline cut the scalp open and start to drill into it with a not drill but a bone saw cutting the bone right under there so the fact that jim jenkins doesn't remember anybody performing a crating out of me doesn't remember anybody performing surgery on the skull tells me he was not there early that evening he just thinks he was because there's a gap in his memory so most of these witnesses are it's like looking at a chinese painting they're remembering the peaks of the mountains coming up out of the fog they're remembering the key things that were the most important to them in whatever event they're recalling but they certainly don't remember everything so i conclude that in that one instance jenkins must be wrong because the weight of the evidence is that he was not there early that evening he was a very

good witness when it came to other things he recalled a hole the size of a civil silver dollar in the back of the president's head he recalled a wound in the right temple just above and forward of the right ear a very good witness certainly in regard to trying to infuse the brain that was handed to him by dr humes but i conclude he's wrong about having been there that whole evening he can't his memory on that uh cannot be correct okay how deeply complicit was the secret service in the attack and dealy and the subsequent transport of the president's body from dallas to bethesda anybody want to weigh in on that doug i'll go first and then i know you want to weigh in jacob but uh i there was security stripping of the dallas motorcade and i'm not going to go into a lot of detail but i'll list a basic the advance agent was winston lawson he was sent out there by floyd boring floyd boring was a dirty agent he was the number two guy in the white house detail

01:18:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4681s>)

detail he lied to clint hill before the trip and said the president doesn't want agents on the car anymore that's that was a lie and later boring admitted that oh the president never said that but he lied to clint hill about it then he sends winston lawson to dallas winston lawson listens to the security plan by the dallas police this is all in the warren commission 26 volumes they propose uh eight motorcycles around kennedy's limousine he cuts it in half from eight to four and then he instructs them to keep the motorcycles behind the rear wheels of the limousine therefore there's no protection to the side of or in front of the limousine from motorcycle outriders so that's the security stripping i'm talking about and so that's some complicity right there by certainly by some officials uh so jacob i know you have thoughts about the removal of the body from parkland and who accomplished that right yeah i'll wait and then i'll ask if anybody else would but i think it's also important to point out what i point out in my talk is that kellerman is sitting in the passenger side of the limousine where kennedy is assassinated and he has a duty uh as a secret service agent to immediately cover the president with his body and and kennedy theoretically could have survived that first shot into his throat and kellerman should have jumped over the seat the way clint hill did and cover the president give his own life he sat there like a bump on the log then he's the guy that is forcing his way out of parkland with holding a submachine gun and having his agents brandish guns expressly designed to prevent dr earl rose from conducting an autopsy there which he was required to do under state law and rose rose was advised of that by rose i've i've got to

01:20:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4801s>)

advised of that by rose i've i've got to do this under state law you're not touching this body get out of the way and there was no doubt that they were prepared to use deadly force and then kellerman's in charge of the uh the body switching and not the body switching but the casket uh situation back at bethesda because once they have the body brought in early at 6 35 in that shipping casket they've got to figure out a way to put it back into the dallas casket so nobody's any wiser when it arrives when it's brought in at 8pm which is the official entry time that everybody sees well in any operation as you know military operation things go wrong and your best officers are the ones that are able to adjust on the fly and kellerman was impressive he adjusted on the fly and he was able to pull that off i think it's also worth pointing out that when doug was on the ar rb the law expressly said that no federal agency was permitted to destroy records and they the arr b sent them a letter i think it was the ar be sent the secret service along with other federal agents expressly a directive in writing saying you are instructed not to destroy anything and the secret service nonetheless destroyed certain important records i think relating to an assassination attempt in chicago but i'm not sure about that but they knowingly destroy these records and finally i would yeah go ahead doug it was the it was the archives that briefed all the federal agencies on the requirements of the act which was henceforth the act has been passed you don't destroy any records unless the review board says it's okay uh it doesn't even matter whether you think they're relevant or not you don't destroy any records that have anything to do with the kennedy administration uh whether you think they're assassination records or not and so the secret service of course destroyed about well a couple of months worth of files it's in volume five of my book

01:22:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=4920s>)

it's in volume five of my book uh pertaining to presidential protection and the survey trips before he would go to different cities and one of the files they destroyed was three full folders of material about the chicago trip which was to have taken place november 2nd and was canceled so they destroyed yeah they destroyed records in violation of the law they had been warned by the archives not to do that and the review board should have held a public hearing to castigate them and was considering it but ultimately the powers that be the board members decided not to have a public hearing and embarrass the secret service but i i wish they had it would have set a good example for the other agencies all right anybody else want to weigh in on that okay john when is your new book going to be available well we're we're looking at the end of the year okay um next one both of the subjects mr newman mentioned are pretty explosive so the simple question would be what evidence does he have that the joint chiefs oh here you are doug knew of the missiles in cuba ahead of time and to me even more serious what is the evidence that the military was making plans to widen the vietnam war in may of 63. this clearly was not jfk's policy so to me that suggests foreknowledge of the assassination there's two questions here yeah i uh agree that that early um working on 34a and uh getting ready to um decrease if not completely destroy the the withdrawal plans that were in train um cast a lot of doubt uh it looks like they know or they could know let me go back to the first one these are both the um important and and technical questions

01:24:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5041s>)

and technical questions um so let me start with uh the first one i'll set it up by reminding everybody that there was a berlin crisis that going on most of the year 1961 and ended in in late october just before it ended um because khrushchev had been such a bully and and was escalating um and we didn't have enough ground forces to to defeat the uh warsaw attack forces kennedy publicly revealed um the huge magnitude of the u.s nuclear power way way beyond anything that the that the soviets had and khrushchev was humiliated and forced to back down as the nuclear team's berlin crisis ended well that's when the decision was made right after that right away khrushchev made it desperate but very secret as as natalie said hell of a gamble they were out the soviets were outgunned and surrounded by uh superior american power and khrushchev realized that the only way to level that huge imbalance of strategic nuclear power was the deployment of medium and intermediate range soviet missiles in cuba now popular wisdom holds that khrushchev did not decide to deploy the missiles until may 62. this view is incorrect my volume 4 armageddon will present new hard evidence about this but i'll give you a couple of examples first of all khrushchev didn't uh brief the supreme soviet or or any large group on this he initially when he made the decision he only let a few trusted military leaders in on his plan which was made by the end of 61 just weeks after the end of the berlin crisis not may 62 to place the missiles in cuba here are two pieces of two facts the national security agency discovered that in february 62

01:26:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5162s>)

discovered that in february 62 february 62 khrushchev sent the head of soviet strategic rocket forces to cuba to begin covert construction for the deployment wow also in february 62 american intelligence observed probably from the uh from the air the building of a nuclear warhead storage facility at beijing in western cuba the same one that would be used as the main warhead storage facility during the october missile crisis now that's i could continue uh with a lot more about that but that's short and quick but let me just uh um add a couple of points here while nsa learned about the soviet rocket forces chief's trip in february at the same time the cia learned about the budget house news the stores facility and we know about that because marshall carter general marshall carter army general martial guard became the deputy director of central intelligence in april 1962. he had access to this top secret cia information limiter also knew about it because carter was his long time protege so while u.s intelligence was in possession of this highly sensitive information in february 62 jfk was not told about it until mid-october on 10 august director of central intelligence mccomb predicted that mrbm and irbm sites missile sites might be deployed but he did so only on the basis of cuban reports of the presence of surface-to-air missiles general carter had a habit of not sharing important data with his boss dci mccone who along with jfk was unaware of this crucial news from february until the mrbm and irvine missiles were in the final stages of preparation for use against the united states the chiefs wanted war

[01:28:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5281s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5281s)

the chiefs wanted war whoops that's the end of that my response anybody else want to weigh in on that new information it's it's tremendously exciting and i can't wait to read more about it okay anybody else want to weigh in on that all right uh jacob can you reiterate why lbj was removed from the 68 ticket and how it was related to dallas yeah this is covered in my book the kennedy autopsy 2. uh johnson in in my opinion was had every intention to run for re-election in 68. johnson wanted to be president practice practically his entire life he enjoyed being president he loved the adulation he loved the power and he hated the kennedys and the notion that johnson would run scared because bobby kennedy entered the race or because eugene mccarthy came close to beating him in new hampshire i think is nonsense johnson had taken on the one of the most popular governors in in texas history coke stevenson johnson was not a man to be afraid of running in a race i think there's a much better explanation for this you see once bobby kennedy enters the race it's a virtual certainty that the assassination is going to be a campaign issue and in the heat of a campaign there's no telling what's going to happen now we can look back in retrospect and see all these these anomalies and fraud in the autopsy but keep in mind that's 30 years after the assassination uh and and they put a cap on it they made everybody sign oaths of secrecy or most everybody they were threatened with criminal prosecution if they ever talked and it worked i mean for 30 years by and large it worked then then the arr b discovers sandra spencer and rajiv bojanan and other people and other evidence

[01:30:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5401s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5401s)

and other people and other evidence establishing the early entry of the body into the morgue well imagine if it's a campaign issue i mean you all bets are off now about keeping it secret you don't know what what's going to be leaked to the new york times by one of those people that that carried the body in into the shipping casket or maybe sandra spencer decides to secretly leak to dorothy kilgallen who was challenging the official version of the events and so i think the much more logical thing is that the powers that be that that orchestrated this thing walked into johnson's office and said you've had a good run but we can't afford to have you be the candidate because once he's removed as a candidate the assassination goes out the window as far as an issue and that's exactly what happened johnson drops out and nobody brings up the assassination anymore i think that's a much more logical reason as to why johnson dropped out also after new hampshire remember in new hampshire johnson johnson was dependent on write-in votes he wasn't on the ballot and he still beat mccarthy and then after that he told aides we're going we got a fight on our hands and he sent them to wisconsin which indicates that he was getting ready to fight and i think they shut him down anybody else went away on that dorothy kilgallon died in 65. oh okay well then she would have couldn't leak to anybody in other words all bets are off okay there was also you know the wise men uh told him that he couldn't win the war oh that's that's yeah of course there were all the massive war protesters no doubt about that too all right all the all the people that had advised us you know four presidents told him it was it was finished there's nothing he could do just it was a draw or lose okay now i think this has been already explained uh i think by you john but does anyone else remember a woman in the southwest i

[01:32:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5520s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=5520s)

else remember a woman in the southwest i think they're relating to sylvia audio who claimed that a man named lee oswald and two other men came to her home while trying to convince her to donate to their political cause while another oswald was in mexico city yeah that's the famous silvio audio incident okay bobby authorized three private investigations but he had to stand behind the official position otherwise it would have been leaked that bobby kennedy was behind the use of the mafia and the castro assassination attempts which would have ruined his political career he had to buy this time until he became president that's just an observation but bobby was not behind the cia mafia plot that killed kennedy okay and by the way jacob i have to tell you the second time this has happened at the conference that you held okay you know he was the ciaig report is very very clear about this okay and in fact at the end uh the writers of the report say to helms can we claim presidential approval for this and they answer the question as saying no in this instance we cannot claim presidential approval for this and that's why they had to send two cia briefers in to tell bobby

kennedy uh this is what's happening with this thing with matthew in las vegas because he didn't know anything he didn't know anything about it well what do you mean this is the second time i don't know of anybody because at your conference that you had in virginia which was a live-in conference it was a live conference you remember that yeah oliver's don't spoke at yeah okay well well two speakers at that conference said this same thing one was kinser one was his senate investigator

01:34:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=5640s>)

investigator okay i don't know i can't remember his name right now okay they said this same thing okay and then and so then when i got up to speak that was the first thing i wanted to address okay that the the declassified record does not hold this out yeah oh i agree with you and i don't think anybody is good i'm glad you agree with me great no i mean i don't want to weigh in on bobby kent bobby kennedy was prosecuting the mafia they thought that he would have the cia enter into a partnership with the mafia while he's prosecuting them as ludicrous but i don't know of anybody at this conference that has made that claim no no no what i was talking about was the other kind of okay okay yeah look let's let but let's since we're on this bobby you know got his brother killed and almost started world war three the the person now first of all there was stuff in the files we talked about this earlier that made it kind of look like that in the beginning but the guy who really ran this thing to death 30 years sam halpern i spent four chapters count on not one not through four chapters tearing him to smithereens okay he made it all up and didn't know what he was talking about i won't even i don't even go to the details it was crazy pure crazy stuff and now what happened was along comes the church committee and i know he read it because in all of his interviews i made a notation of every time he he said i read the church committee report he found out but it was too late he is so invested and he could not stop doing this but he found out when he wrote the church committee report that it wasn't bobby it was his own boss harvey william harvey who had hired the mob to to kill castro and and so uh but he continued to do it he continued to do it and so it was it's a complete malarkey and now we have all these you know onesies these guys have a tv show here or you know sabato down in uva who's written his one book everybody writes one book on the case and they're all still singing this song bobby kennedy he got

01:36:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=5762s>)

singing this song bobby kennedy he got his brother killed by trying because he was fooling around trying to kill castro using the mob to do it it's bull crap and for those who don't know uh that's in john's volume three into the storm those chapters all right anybody else okay uh has there ever been an analysis of exactly what the outcome would have been of a full nuclear exchange in 1962 with the ordinance available to the combatants at that time would it have truly been an on the beach scenario would mankind have been destroyed go ahead doug the short answer is yes first of all until 1960 i was just reading this today the soviet union had no way of putting a nuclear bomb on the united states until 1960. and from 1960 to 62 very few none of their 100 or 140 or whatever you want to count bombers would have made it all their bombers first of all so we would have destroyed the world without their help okay none of their bombers would have made it over the north pole because they had to land in the arctic take off from the interior of russia land in the arctic to refuel and then come over the north pole and we would have nuked their refueling bases and we had like 42 interceptor squadrons ready to shoot them down over canada if three or four bombers got through on a one-way trip to hell you know uh now they started to have a few icbms in 1960 i think they had four would have taken them you know 24 hours to get them ready to launch absurd by 1962

01:38:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=5881s>)

absurd by 1962 i'm doing this from memory they had uh i think six obsolescent r7s and 16 of the new r16s but they weren't very reliable yet so uh they might have landed a few bombs on us but not many in 62. they would have destroyed europe with their irbms and mrbs so they wouldn't have destroyed us but we would have destroyed the world because first of all two points i want to make the the death statistics put out by the air force planners only accounted for the psyop planners only accounted for blast effects they didn't account for heat or fire or radiation they only accounted for blast and admiral felt himself sink pack criticized that in

1961 he said hey they're only talking about deaths due to blast he said what about radiation and heat and then we have all the nuclear winter studies in the 1980s and then probably the best book ever written about nuclear winter was in 1990 by carl sagan and and richard i think it's terrico richard turco and [Music] basically with the united states destroying all of the soviet union and china and eastern europe with various scenarios of how much we destroyed them but it was going to be a lot of mega tonnage all of the resulting fires due to heat effects of the fireballs of the they had two or three bombs for each target yeah thank you three bombs for each target massive overkill and uh and the resulting paul of smoke just never mind the radiation for a

01:40:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6001s>)

just never mind the radiation for a minute and the mutations afterwards and the radiation sickness the pile of smoke from these global fires over half the planet and europe would have lowered the temperature of the earth even in the summertime to below freezing in the northern hemisphere for at least a year possibly up to two or three years depending on how serious the nuclear exchange was so agriculture would have failed and civilization would have failed and there may have been some human survivors three years later when the sun came out again but as khrushchev said the survivors would have envied the dead well doug don't you think that that even though khrushchev could not reach the u.s that he would have fired a bunch of his missiles in europe uh yes yes he would have they would if there had been a full nuclear exchange general war uh yes i believe they would have executed their war plan too and so europe would have been toast okay anybody else all right dr newman in jfk in vietnam one of the sub themes you developed which is rarely mentioned in the jfk assassination literature was the military back channel to vice president johnson you name the individual i believe who gave him the real numbers regarding what was happening in the ground i guess he's relating to vietnam unlike the phony reports which were given to president kennedy please discuss this matter and its possible significance regarding dallas and beyond thank you to all the other participants for the important work you've done over the years concerning the important national event so um i want to preface my answer to that question by saying when i first wrote jfk in vietnam i was only aware of this phenomenon happening on the vietnam statistics coming from mac v back to to the pentagon in washington uh when i

01:42:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6122s>)

to the pentagon in washington uh when i went down to spend a long time uh um in the ibj library um with heather fear one of my research associates we were there for three days we found out that the same thing happened on just about every issue in other words um howard burrs was the guy who was doing this he was getting this information through the back channels from military intelligence people and i interviewed him uh many many times but he was writing memos to the vice president having all the exact correct figures for viet cong forces how many there were and what was going on in the battlefield which was terrible um and and so but false information was being fed to mcnamara by mack v and from mcnamara to president kennedy so you have a situation where it's very seditious and johnson never mentioned it by the way johnson got the in any of his books or any of his interviews but it's all there in the in the documentary record i was able to get it released uh by the center for military history uh shortly before i published uh jfk in vietnam so that was even even in the first edition this happened in the spring of 62 and um and continued uh until uh literally the 20th of november 1963 when they put the truth on the table i called it the optimistic interlude we're winning we're really kicking them kicking their butts and and we weren't it was the other way around so uh it's it's an amazing thing to think you could do this and get away with it but they did now in all fairness to mcnamara and kenny they were a little busy with cuba in 1962. um and it took them a while uh to figure it out and they did figure it out mcnamara asked me when he figured it out and i said well i can't tell you for

01:44:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6241s>)

and i said well i can't tell you for sure but i can tell you when you had the opportunity to figure it out he was sending matt uh kennedy was sending mansfield over and and the cia weighed in and the cia said oh man these figures are crap there were just so many indicators by the end of 62 the kennedy brothers knew it and

they shared it with some friends but but not with anybody in the in the power structure for mcnamara you know um so that's when kennedy uh decided uh that they were going to secretly uh have to pull everybody out of 65 but it was the buddhist crisis in the spring of 1963 where the political bottom fell out they realized they had to accelerate the withdrawal plan and um so that is at that point as i was briefing earlier if you recall just a little while ago a half hour ago was when general taylor now chairman of the joint chiefs of staff and and um and his his folks in the pentagon decided that they're going to start uh um finding ways to cut down and destroy the withdrawal plan and at the same time uh get ready for uh plan 34a so it's hard for me to imagine any way they think they're going to get away with this well with kennedy in the white house they can't so it's it's clear-cut that when they're doing this of course they're doing it secretly both sides are act are secretly trying to fool the other side and not sides fooled here so it's a game of chicken but um you know so the i think um that it was sometime after the missile crisis in 62 which the chiefs thought was a failure because we didn't have a war and take out russia and china which it isn't of course he saved the planet and paid with his life for it um but sometime in that time frame in the fall of 62 that you know we're we turn the corner

[01:46:03 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6363s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6363s)

that you know we're we turn the corner we don't nec have to make the actual opportunity up at the moment in the means or the the motive started in the bay of pigs the means were clearly there at 60 why because the kennedy brothers went and did it again they were going to try and overthrow castro without going in with troops and there was no reason to think that anything had changed in fact it was much worse in 62 than it was in 61. there were far more tanks and artillery pieces and and you know uh counter um you know suppression of free will going on in cuba um all the all the exiled forces had been uh either in jail or were going to be jailed um or were leaving cuba and so uh for there was no reason no reason at all for the kennedy brothers to do it and they did they went back in again i think uh you know because they were embarrassed or hurt and mongoose uh as as such said was bobby's worst fault and he you know uh and so there's no doubt but you you can't conceive of like lansdale is another interesting guy right he's the one who during mongoose did exactly what he's told not to do uh which was to recommend you know american forces going in there and what he did was to to but he was the the uh officer in charge for mongoose and he put he was to put all these tasks or how we were going to you know topple the regime by not sending any u.s forces and what he did instead of doing what he was told was to front load all of his recommendations with the northwoods plan from leominster by by the end of the first month of the year by the 28th of january just about everything lenser wanted to put on the table was already put in there he was a stalking horse for

[01:48:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6481s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6481s)

put in there he was a stalking horse for leominster's northwoods plan that you know what that is that's a a false flag operation uh we're gonna kill some people trying to escape from cuba we're gonna we're gonna shoot some people in miami and in washington we're gonna sink a ship and make it look like all this was done uh by the cubans so that the american people who will go to war uh we're gonna we're gonna use lies to convince the american people to go to war against cuba that's what it was and eventually of course uh the kennedys kneecapped uh lansdale and and uh and confronted uh limit sir personally and kennedy rebuked him but you can't imagine lansdale doing all of this stuff his dream was to become to become the uh dm's you know personal uh uh anyway his top advisor his top advisor over there and and kennedy wouldn't let him go and he knew he would never ever get what he really wanted while kennedy was in the white house he's just another person that can't wait uh to jump on board with these guys atlanta was never a a uh you know a huge uh across the plains of normandy guy that guy was a counterinsurgency guy but he realized that that the star rising on the horizon was a vietnam war he was he planned on being there he did everything he could to help out lindman those guys so what what happened in terms of all the deception um went sideways when the kennedys found out about it they kept it quiet but uh the decision was already made the the to kill them to kill john kennedy i'm talking about it until after he's dead and then of course the vietnam situation is it plays directly into the assassination of both king and and bobby but um i i think it's a it's a uh it's a

[01:50:01 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6601s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6601s)

i i think it's a it's a uh it's a straight road from the fall of 62. it's on it's it's in the worst you know death of the president that's why people do anything they wouldn't otherwise do anybody else okay this question is directed to me uh jacob i'm still fascinated by your statement last week to the effect that any grassy knoll conspirators have caught would have been tied to a communist conspiracy in quotation marks as part of the design of the actual plot this raises fascinating implications that i've never considered before and then for instance in effect you would you would have two or three potential patsies rather than just lee harvey oswald and i just wonder if you could more fully elaborate on this statement thanks again for the wonderful conference well let me let me clarify this because because the the question there assumes things that that i'm really not contending this this is the most sophisticated part of the plot and it's the most ingenious part of the plot but but you have to take really a lot of care to break it down and understand exactly what was happening remember the brilliant part of the plot was blame a communist as i said last week the ingenious part of the plot was figure out a way to shut down the investigation because you know it's gonna it's gonna leak lead to the national security establishment they can't afford to have an investigation so they have shots fired from the front but those are not gonna be patsies those are government agents contractors assassins that that the national security establishment has contracted with or maybe they're just agents of the military or the cia doesn't matter the only patsy you have in this scenario is in the rear that's lee harvey oswald he's the guy they're framing the intelligence agent they're framing so what the plan is is you're they're operating on two levels one is the federal level where they're trying to get this thing shut down

[01:52:00 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6720s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6720s)

trying to get this thing shut down that's where they implicate the people in the front they're saying johnson's there saying look this is clearly a soviet cuba operation oswald had gone and tried to defect to the soviet union now 30 days before the assassination he makes contact with both embassies in mexico city clearly the guys that have fired from the front are part of this soviet cuba oswald conspiracy to assassinate uh kennedy so if we're going to avoid world war iii if the american people find this out they're going to pressure us immediately to retaliate we're looking at world war three now if they had caught the guys firing from the front that would have been the end of it there's no way that those those guys could have outlasted and said we're communist agents of the soviet union i mean that would have broken down very very quickly and it would have led to the national security establishment so that part of the plan they had to make sure was foolproof that it whether it was one shooter or two shooters from the front i guarantee you they had that perfect that those that guy or those guys were gonna get away and uh because they couldn't afford to have them captured if they were captured the whole thing would have been over uh so they got away and then you operate on that that federal level that gets people like uh katzenbach to say we gotta shut this thing down we're looking at world war three and then on the public level oh look folks nothing nothing to see here only one guy in the rear he's already dead no other confederates let's move on nothing to see so that's how the thing was playing out anybody else went away in yeah okay yeah go ahead doug and if they had been captured they would have been speaking french or italian or belgian and uh that wouldn't have been good either they couldn't they wouldn't have been patsy's they would have been identified as probably recruited from gladio units that's all i want to say okay uh

[01:54:02 \(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6842s\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=6842s)

did bobby okay that's already been answered uh-oh my dog is barking it's not a good sign uh i've heard a lot of hype about how bobby was going to reinvestigate his brothers haven't seen real proof of this uh here's saying theorize and seem to keep this going uh i just don't forgive bobby for supporting the warren commission publicly i don't think he would have destroyed the brain had he intended to investigate publicly any real proof about his intentions had he been elected president well anybody want to weigh on that yeah i mean you if you haven't read lisa peace's book okay will you will you feed your dog jacob well cassie come down here come here girl come here well that's not the problem the problem is she might have to go to the bathroom is bart going to take over he might okay if you read lisa piece's book and by the way i i have to

recommend everybody you know if you haven't read that book you really should because in my opinion it's uh it's a quantum leap beyond all the other rfk books okay and she has several sources in there that uh that that that is what bobby kennedy was was planning to do you know if if he was elected and she makes a very good case that that's the reason he was assassinated that after he won california it really looked like he was going to win the nomination all right and that's and that was the reason for his assassination now the the only thing she has in there more the reasons for the assassination is is the whole setup for thing and i don't think anybody could possibly think that that was not a conspiracy after after reading the uh the information in in that book and you know in my opinion that's

01:56:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=6960s>)

that's it's really i'm really glad we have all these conferences and everything but uh the rfk cases is something that really doesn't get i believe talked about as much as it as as it as it merits because if you if you think about it historically speaking which is what which is what i do okay that it was it was that assassination that really ended the 60s prematurely you know you know afternoon it also ended any chance of stopping the vietnam war right you know john deal because john johnny is going to win that that primary and they knew if he won that primary he was going to he was going to be elected um and john are you still a professor yeah oh okay well see john teaches a class in the 60s okay so if you if you take a look at those assassinations it was really bobby kennedy was the last great hope you know of what of what that decade really stood for you know and and once they got rid of him you know in 1968 that was it yeah that was it for the for the it was the end of that decade united something to that it was a twofer they killed king first just weeks before they killed rfk yes and she was actually going to run for president until he found out bobby was going to do it so then he stood back and said okay he got rid of king first and then bobby and that was the end those two guys there were standing in the way of you know who my army all right uh question for dr newman regarding the succession of general maxwell taylor replacing wyman lemnitzer on october 162. what if anything changed when taylor replaced limnitzer okay well i i scooted around this uh once earlier so

01:58:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7081s>)

once earlier so um he wanted that job and um one of the problems that kennedy had was general norstad who was the supreme allied commander of europe he had to be replaced kennedy and he weren't getting along too well so um he offered that post to general taylor and general and so i said nah you know my wife and i have been overseas too much i don't i don't want that job so a week or so later he says well how about the head of the cia you know why don't you why don't you do that no i don't much want to do that either and so that's um so what he wants to do is to get the job that he did chairman of the joint chiefs of staff and it puts him in a position to get real control now of vietnam he's got his guy in there so that's one of the it's this is a lot of musical chairs going along here right you've got you've got uh the sack your position you've got the cia position you you've got um and the chairman of the joint chiefs of staff so it's a terrible mistake by the way for kennedy to once he can't put uh taylor in that position to give it to lermitzer what he should have done to limit her and say you know first of all let me put this on the table limit sir's um job as chairman of the joint chiefs of staff was up anyway that summer and his uh his ability to stay in the army was ending in the spring of 1963. so what kennedy should have done is just say ah i give you some medals but you're gonna take that uniform off you're done guy nice job he didn't do that he was scared they were scared of a coup and so it totally blew lemnitzer's mind about everybody else's mind he offers them to be the head of the uh the secular position is the supreme allied commander in europe

02:00:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7200s>)

europe and and what that why that's such a bad deal it's a bad deal to put um taylor in there because taylor is is gonna is gonna turn on kennedy's vietnam policy but when you take limiter and stick him over there in the sacred position you're giving him the keys to the kingdom to nato and under nato are the two clandestine committees that lamitzer had a role in setting up way back in the day and these are what turned into gladio units originally it was they weren't supposed to be gladio units that were going to interfere in all the elections

of every country in europe which they did originally the idea was that oh here the big russians are going to come well they're going to go march all the way across europe to the atlantic and we're going to have to have these stay behind units that are insurgency warfare for self-defense well the what happens is the insurgency for self-defense has turned into angle of american hegemony over the world and in that regard the mi6 the british cia and and in our cia are the ones who worked with the super secret committees under nato to do just that beginning uh at the end of the of the 40s and all the way up to 1990 until they were ousted but it's been the most secret thing ever in our in our history of our intelligence is is what we did to all those countries and that's why it remains a secret because if that becomes very conversant over there people aren't going to like us very much we would have people killed uh blow stuff up and blame it on the communists and socialists they were all false leg operations and white or are we so sure and i've got a chapter that's it's working on on a good bit of this um there's a lot of new work on on this particular gladio history but um it uh

02:02:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7320s>)

what happened was in the end of many of the people who participated especially for the cia came forward on camera told their stories everything they did and all the people in all those other countries and they were filmed uh by frankovic all three three uh three uh series of frankovich films interviewing all these people that did all these things uh including the cia guys they came clean even high level ones and when he got off the plane when he got back from europe he died all right i need to weigh in here okay just for a second it pertains to that specific question i'm going to hit screen share and show you two pictures of the date that the question was asking about uh this is october 1st 1962. can everybody see that yeah that's uh laminster that's leonard sir kennedy's putting a medal on him and lenniser looks very unhappy to say the least that jfk his nemesis is putting a medal on his lapel he does not look happy at all and uh now look at the following picture from the same ceremony on october 1st 62. can you see that the black and white one no no no you can't no no that's too bad i i took the other one down it looks like it's frozen or something to scream okay let me try something uh let me try screen share i know this will work standby how about that yeah okay look at this is after the metal london sir in my opinion has absolute hatred on his face kennedy doesn't look too pleased with

02:04:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7441s>)

kennedy doesn't look too pleased with him either but he's a little bit more restrained about it look at who's behind lemnit sir the catholic wall of the canary look at max taylor's face he's got exactly what he wanted yep the trojan horse there he is he's got exactly what he wanted and uh not only that an ally of his limb is going over to europe so that's just uh that's what i wanted to do was uh share that with everybody that pertained to the question thank you okay anyone else okay well okay this is a kind of a sidelight when gerald ford appointed the rockefeller commission all right uh he had lemnitzer on it okay along with people like ronald reagan and at a very private buffet lunch at the white house uh an editor for the new york times okay asked him uh why did you appoint all these obviously conservative people uh to this panel you know it was a time of tumult you know everything's fine finding out about all these secret operations and and uh and ford said words of the effect because we have to keep some things some of these things secret and the guy said like what and he blurted out like assassinations okay clearing the air because he was there all right all right next question what should a pro-peace non-interventionist us president do in order to neutralize the hawks in the national security state unfortunately the military and intelligence community will do everything in their power to undermine a

02:06:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7561s>)

everything in their power to undermine a non-interventionist president nixon had the answer to that give him marijuana let him smoke [Laughter] well it's it's what i keep maintaining in this is that this is not just a historical matter that we're looking at like all the people involved in this are dead that we're looking at an institutional problem here that these regime change operations are are structural that the what happened

here was no different in principle from what happened in chile uh with pinochet and allende that really what the ultimate solution is the restoration of a limited government republic and the dismantling not the reform notice i don't say reform of the national security establishment in other words an abolition of the cia an abolition of the nsa dismantling of the pentagon the massive military-industrial complex in other words what the founding principles of america were and you know one of the shocking things that shocked me when i first was getting into this is that a major figure in this so-called research community uh i said you know we we really need to get rid of the cia as part of this and he was shocked oh no jacob you know they may have assassinated kennedy but we need them for national security and i was just stunned the the ultimate solution here and the reason that i keep harping on this is that structurally we've got a major problem in this country and that is that america is a national security state and that's what kennedy was threatening it wasn't just ending the cold war that he was talking about even though he never said we need to restore a limited government republic that was the natural implication of what he was doing since the cold war was the justification for having converted the federal government to a national security state the natural implication especially back in 63 when people still had had memories of a limited government republic the national implications which

02:08:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7680s>)

republic the national implications which the pentagon the cia and the nsa had to realize was no cold war we don't need you guys anymore anybody else okay uh now this one's about tippit uh jim don thomas and brownlow and pulte suggest harry olson assisted tibbett at 10th and patton to complete the dallas police department capture of old walls as part of the setup you can't set up oswald in the school book depository and not capture him kill him and shut the case down is it possible oswald realized he was set up when tippett just happened to pull up alongside him and oswald got in first and shot tip it i imagine that's addressed to you too yeah that is to you jim i'm sorry um uh i i like don and i think his book is is a valuable book to read i disagree with him about the tippit case all right um and i've actually written about this at length i wrote about a 17-page essay on this uh i i don't think oswald shot tippett okay for for a lot of different reasons but i i disagree with him on that he thinks that oswald did shoot tippit i i i don't i don't agree with that harry olsen is a hell of an interesting character who you know as as we know the warren commission didn't did not do a very good job on him all right uh uh him and his girlfriend who i believe was kate kendall at the time okay were never ever really cross-examined and explored the way that they should have been uh because they had some interesting connections to uh to jack ruby all right but i also believe that if you if you take a look you know we tend to forget

02:10:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7801s>)

you know we tend to forget that bert griffin actually did try and cross-examine patrick dean okay and we also tend to forget that he more or less accused him of being a liar all right and now what happened in that was that dean then complained to uh wade and fritz and that caused wade and fritz to actually go ahead and and read warn the riot act all right and so therefore that really curtailed the the whole investigation because one of the people i believe who who was central uh as part of the dallas police cover-up was patrick dean all right i i believe that dean if you read the house like committee report i believe it was dean who arranged to have ruby come in that side door ruby did not come down the ramp i mean the house select committee makes a very good case for that all right it was i think it was patrick dean who actually let ruby in through that side door across the street where he was at the at the uh western union and if you look at this i don't know how many people uh are familiar with this uh very good documentary evidence of revision i hope i hope people know what i'm talking about it's a it's a wonderful documentary and it has the clearest film i've ever seen of ruby literally hiding behind blackie harrison when he's waiting for oswald to come out all right and then as that happens two horns go off what one horn goes off when

02:12:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=7920s>)

what one horn goes off when oswald uh comes out to the foyer and one horn goes off right before ruby leaps forward to actually kill kill oswald so i i i in my opinion i disagree with don on on that on that actual point all right that that oswald did you tip it okay anyone else yeah just briefly and uh joseph mcbride has written a great book into the nightmare and and he's a tipit expert and i recommend that to everybody if they haven't read it yet into the nightmare by joseph mcbride okay this is an interesting question what who if anyone in the mainstream media distinguished themselves by reporting with a skeptical eye on the official story during the aftermath of the assassination martin stedman a guy who probably nobody has ever heard martin stedman was in dallas and he stayed there for about 10 days afterwards and he wrote a report which is you can actually see it online now i don't know if i don't think was published at the time where he interviewed malcolm perry okay this was about four days after the assassination and he actually got perry to say that there was no doubt in my mind that the anterior neckline was one of entrance he said not i've done literally hundreds okay of these kinds of operations and so i knew i know what i was looking at and also i'm a hunter okay so i know what an entrance wound looks like as opposed to an exit wound this one did not have ragged edges all right and he then said that that night i started to get

02:14:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8041s>)

that night i started to get phone call after phone call from bethesda and he specifically said it was the pathologists they were talking to me all right and they said i didn't know what i was talking about okay all right and and this is what was new in martin stedman's report he said when i wouldn't go along with them they threatened to bring me to court and to bust my medical license all right which i never heard that before now there's something else that goes along with that there's this film called the parkland doctors which was shown at the houston mock trial but it wasn't on the broadcast you had to actually be there in the room all right and in that film i think it was mcclelland towards the end of the film they're describing the famous kemp clark malcolm perry press conference where clark and perry essentially let the story out of the bag clark says that there was this uh large wound in the occipital area and perry said that it was an entrance wound in the neck mcclellan said right after the press conference and remember this is like 90 minutes after the assassination some guy came up to perry with a a suit white shirt tie to him it looked like an fbi agent and he grabbed perry by the arm and he said don't ever say that again all right so i was with bob cannonbaum when i was watching this and i go bob did you just hear that and he said jim they knew in 90 minutes that they had to snap on a cover story all right okay doug did you want to add anything oh that's a i never heard that before that's great

02:16:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8161s>)

i never heard that before that's great it corroborates what audrey bell told us she was operating room nurse who was in trauma room one for five minutes and she said when she saw dr perry again on saturday november 23rd she said you look like hell what's wrong and he says well i was up all night they wouldn't stop calling me from bethesda trying to get me to change my mind about the throat wound being one of entrance so this is corroboration for that that he also told someone else so i think it's very valuable historically and thanks for sharing that jim you're welcome by the way another guy is this jackman guy from uh the washington post who did a four-part series on the rfk case so he's like you know right now he's probably you know the best journalist we have out there i mean there's also jefferson morley of course okay but he's kind of a freelancer all right well i think it's worth mentioning the woman that we we talked about earlier in a different context and that's dorothy kilgallen uh she she was writing op-eds that were questioning and ridiculing the official version and the two books that have been written on her her book i thoroughly enjoyed i don't know how you all feel about them but i thought they were very well done uh i forget what their names are uh of the books okay here's the next one if the joint chiefs were so fired up for war why was their reaction to the jfk assassination so subdued i understand that they were not surprised by the event knowing it was not a kgb or cuban act but why did they take such mild actions at the time like not going to a higher defcon not activating relocation to offside command centers and according to john judge instructions to sac bombers to maintain strict radio silence on november 22nd instead shouldn't they have immediately used the assassination as an excuse to

[02:18:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8280s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8280s)

used the assassination as an excuse to go to war thanks for the great comments tonight now let me add to that a question that i got by email today that asked me to address it tonight one thing he's bugging me is very clear that the plan involved framing a communist who had ties to cuba and soviet union that would be very consistent with an operation northwoods action which would lay the blame on cuba and or the ussr for an attack on the u.s thereby justifying immediate pretext to invade cuba and overthrow castro once the dce and cia immediately publicized oswald's background one would think based on northwoods that the joint chiefs would have had the excuse they needed and had bombers in the air toward cuba do you think this was the initial part of the plan killed jfk and laid the blame on cuba ussr and if so do you think that was possible what changed the strategy to blaming only oswald or do you think this was never an operation earth was type of operation and solely a plan for regime change uh with j lbj to cover it up okay i'll give a preliminary thing here one thing that was clear uh from early in the kennedy administration all the way through is we didn't have uh the resources to fight multiple wars which brings up the question why were they talking about fighting multiple wars if the answer isn't nuke everything body and then it's not a problem so oh well we haven't nuked anybody uh kennedy stopped a lot of that so by the time you're moving into um you can't go fight in cuba here and and vietnam there and somewhere else you got to pick you got to pick something and so uh you could have picked cuba but then you wouldn't be vietnam um so it we did we simply didn't have enough uh power in in conventional forces to go fighting all over the place even to do one correctly and obviously we didn't have

[02:20:01 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8401s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8401s)

correctly and obviously we didn't have enough power to do it in vietnam either so i would just start start with that if anybody else has any other ideas about you know and i'd just like to weigh in by saying this uh remember both eisenhower and kennedy had rejected preventive war which is a nice namdy-pamdi word for a cold-blooded first strike destroying half the planet they had both rejected that so unless johnson was willing to approve preventive war a first strike then the only thing that that those who favored nuclear or would have had to fall back on was preemption and to my knowledge the soviets didn't do anything that would have uh caused us to get upset after the assassination not only did they keep their bombers grounded and not go on alert during the missile crisis because they were so afraid of sac uh but uh it's my understanding maybe somebody can provide some support for this i don't know but it's my understanding they did not do anything provocative and did not go to any alert after the kennedy assassination so therefore sac would have had no excuse for a preemptive strike and johnson wasn't going to do anything but but vietnam and there's a very interesting book by beschloss this came out presidents at war and johnson got so uh troubled by the fact that they weren't getting anywhere uh that he actually westmoreland asked him for permission to use tactical nuclear weapons does everybody know this in this new book by best laws and lbj turned him down would not do it yeah let me weigh in on this notion that why didn't they use the assassination as a way to go ahead and fulfill their dream of attacking cuba and the soviet union

[02:22:00 \(<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8520s>\)](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=8520s)

attacking cuba and the soviet union remember that that the plot here is a very sophisticated one they've got to get this investigation shut down that's their goal here so let's let's just play this thing out in terms of just analyzing it real carefully when johnson goes to say earl warren and says i want you to be on the commission and warren says no i'm chief justice of the united states i got a conflict of interest johnson says look we're looking at the potential loss of 40 50 million people here he's alluding to the prospect of nuclear war and the idea is that if the american people discover these shooters from the front they're going to just immediately put pressure on we got to go to war we got to retaliate and the only way you're going to retaliate is with bombing havana back to smithereens bombing uh moscow so which means nuclear war well you see but but behind this this scenario this world war three cover story is this notion that the american people would have put this pressure on i think that's just nonsense absolutely not now it makes sense in the context of the immediate post assassination uh time where people are scared to death and you can scare them hey

look they're going to put me under pressure here this is how it would have played out if if they had gone the other way johnson gets on the on the air and says on television we've been attacked by the communists this is a communist conspiracy we definitely have shots fired from the front the doctors at the press conference established that blah blah blah we're going to retaliate here and then presumably the american people come in with all this pressure nonsense what would have happened as a reality is the soviet union in the cuba and cuba would immediately have been ver versus denying they did this and they would have been pointing the finger at the national security establishment of the united states which in fact

02:24:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=8640s>)

in fact the soviets did in the immediate aftermath of the assassination well and obviously they would be denied it because they had nothing to do with it at that point once that those denials are made there's going to be no pressure from the american people for nuclear war they're going to say wait a minute let's back up a minute and let's investigate this and then they're cooked their goose is cooked as soon as that investigation gets launched it's going to lead to the national security establishment so that scenario of going after the soviet union in cuba with a bombing attack was could never have been part of the plan because it never would have succeeded with fierce denials by the soviet union and cuba they had done this because the american people are not dumb they're not going to go to nuclear war that's going to obviously destroy half the planet including half the united states unless they're absolutely sure that this thing went down as they said it did and that's why it was never built into the plan let me add to that jacob so and there was a could pro quo between the kgb and the cia you scratched my back i'll scratch yours i'll agree that you never touched oswald if you agree that we didn't use oswald he was radioactive he was radioactive yeah yeah okay here we go uh let's see how instrumental was bill harvey in the assassination harvey was a cia agent john does anybody want to weigh in on that no you know so this brings up um helms for me because uh helms is basically in the ascendant and taking over in in the cia and so when bobby kennedy fired uh harvey for sending in all those uh teams that were meant for an invasion which was the wrong thing to do um

02:26:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=8760s>)

the wrong thing to do um instead of they made a mistake again instead of banishing him and firing him they let helms take care of the situation and helms hit him in the basement for a while and so what happens with harvey is um he gets to go to rome which is just about one of the biggest headquarters for gladio stuff going on in all over europe and and harvey actually uh writes a memo and says that he endorses this uh strategy of tension that's just a quiet way of saying false flag operations you know to discredit socialists and communist parties uh in europe and there's also the vatican angle too which is really uh kind of nasty but so um harvey is over there and in a situation where there are all these safe crackers and all these uh assassins and all over the place but when you go way back in his life and look at what he was before all this happened he was in the fbi and then and then he and hoover had a falling out so the cia took him on it originally he encountered counterintelligence i think it was and so he goes on a long trip all over europe and what he does is to meet criminals corsicans all these people that they're that are you know that uh can break into places and open safes to do that and so he ends up doing that for the cia right uh and ends up being um uh involved in something called it originally was staff d later on it will be um uh division d as it expands and uh he's in charge of that and he makes another long tour all across europe um

02:28:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=8880s>)

across europe um in the kennedy in the kennedy years again contacting these same uh same terrible people just criminals everywhere so when you put that background together with what happens to him after the falling out with the kennedy prison they send him over to rome he's right where he wants to be and um if anybody can stir up trouble harvey can do it and he's got all the contacts and knows he's known them for years and he's in exactly the right place to do it yeah i think it's also important to just keep in mind that that the exact identity of players in this is not as important as the fact that this is an institutional regime change operation for example if we go over to the ouster of mosidek and the installation of the shah uh the ouster of

arbenz in guatemala the ouster of allende in chile the congo lumumba i mean we don't we don't really get preoccupied with who are the exact agents that pull this off what are the identities we look at this as an act of the national security branch of the government and that's what i think we need to be always remembering here is that we're looking at a problem a structural problem within our government and again i said this before and that structural problem is that the us is a national security state and we are making other countries national security states too both in south america central america and all over europe and so by extension that's what they want uh regime changes there as well and that was going on in italy when kennedy went there in 1963 in the wake of having given his american university speech next night going on tv and saying i was i've been wrong about the civil rights thing and then he goes over to to rome to reach out to the left to do an opening

02:30:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=9000s>)

reach out to the left to do an opening to the left and they treat him well they give him a parade and they do all that kind of stuff but actually what the what we're doing is going pushing italy to the far right while kennedy's there so i i would agree with you you know but it's not just in our own system it's that we need to uh make sure that if we want we're gonna have control for all these multinational corporations and where all the money's coming from we've gotta we've got to be able to get their resources at pennies on the dollar and enforce that with with armies or gladio armies we're using real armies in in the americas as you know oh yeah well no there's no question that every time they do regime building up in these countries they invade that their first goal is to establish a very powerful military intelligence establishment that's why they love pinochet so much he was their model but that's the answer to the question on harvey that's what he's doing okay he's all right some some bad something bad i'll tell you that doug did the a-r-r-b figure out what role william pitzer had in filming or videotaping the autopsy no we didn't uh address that we were as you know we were prohibited from investigating we walked a very fine line and a little bit over the line in some cases by trying to clarify the medical evidence because congressman stokes who had once chaired the hsca had requested the board members to do what they could to clarify the medical evidence so they could hang their hat on his request because he knew that hsca had not satisfied anybody with with their medical report their medical conclusions so we would we did quasi investigation by trying to clarify questions about the medical evidence and then just dumping the interviews and

02:32:01 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=9121s>)

and then just dumping the interviews and depositions in the archives we weren't allowed to draw official conclusions on what those things meant either i did that later in a book i wrote but not official conclusions so no we didn't do the kind of investigation where we would try to find out what pitzer had done or had not done i don't know if he committed suicide or was murdered i don't know one way or the other there are different authors that have taken different positions but i do believe dennis david who told me personally and told me in an interview with the review board that he did see post-mortem photographs of president kennedy in pitzer's office shown to him by pitzer showing a round entry wound in the throat not a gash and showing the top of the head intact not all opened up and showing a big hole in the back of the head so he i believe that he saw those photos in pitzer's office i don't know who took them but i know pitzer showed them to dennis david but no we didn't pursue that that was outside okay somebody remembered the name of the book on dorothy kilgallon the reporter who knew too much that was one of the two [Music] that's why all right i i've skipped a lot of the questions that i i feel like just just sort of went astray and i didn't want to get off into really side areas so people that didn't get your questions asked uh i apologize for that and then we're about approaching the end here let me just say uh doug could you describe how the northwoods document came to be released and your role in that if any oh sure i'd be happy to uh

02:34:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuAHw&t=9242s>)

i'd be happy to uh i uh i was on the military records team and i was hired and then later the uh the boss left he was a retired infantry colonel named tim ray he had just retired from the army and he had worked over there in the pentagon on his last tour in fact he had known he he had known this guy goldberg who actually wrote the warren report and he was buddies with him and he would make jokes all the time about jfk researchers so he was an interesting fellow to work around uh always making jokes and uh making light of a lot of things that i took seriously eventually uh he was let go uh and uh i was kicked upstairs to become his replacement the head of the military team so i was the point man dealing with the department of defense and uh one group i dealt with was the joint staff secretariat it was the records keepers for the joint staff in the pentagon and of course the joint staff does all the staff studies for the joint chiefs and they were the most cooperative group that i dealt with the least cooperative groups were the air force and on i but the joint staff secretariat was very cooperative i established a good rapport with them so they got our long letter our long shopping list of criteria for searches and they coughed it up so they they coughed up the full meeting minutes from the sec def the eighth sec that conference in vietnam from may 63. john newman when he wrote his book he already had a four-page summary written by an admiral which turns out it was very accurate but they coughed up the whole set of minute meetings for that over 200 pages and then they coughed up northwoods and uh it was really a surprise that the government would actually follow the jfk records act

02:36:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=9360s>)

records act and give us something that we had declared relevant which was anything to do with cuba or vietnam and they they gave it to us in spite of embarrassment they weren't you know people in the government were not allowed by the jfk records act to redact things or withhold things because of embarrassment to agencies or individuals so these guys complied with the law they provided it to us and then we instituted something called the joint declassification session where we forced instead of doing round rob and one agency by one agency by one agency all taking their time reviewing the records and then taking two or three years to get declassified we forced people to come to our office once a week and review the northwoods file and later the califano papers about the new cuban policy in 63 review those things at the same table and it really accelerated their release i think we were able to release those in 97 and uh significant portions of them in 97 and so i just want to give all credit to the joint staff secretariat for being cooperative and to jeremy gunn who you know after one year of doing nothing the pentagon was castigated jeremy gunn went over there with several of us in tow and we met with a bunch of people in the office of general counsel for the department of defense i think they had 19 people there we had four you know we were outnumbered and he basically said you guys haven't done anything for a year you haven't complied and you need to comply because we're going to be writing a report which talks about who complied and who cooperated and who didn't and you have an obligation under the law and so they really got on the stick at least the joint staff secretariat did not so much o and i but the the army was very cooperative army uh i'll finish up my answer here the army uh forget the exact name investigative records repository at fort meade

02:38:00 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=9480s>)

records repository at fort meade irr cuffed up a lot of records including all the vicens records showing that he was really working for the army i was able to identify those as assassination records i didn't quite understand the import of them but i identified them as assassination records and then later uh dan hardway and john newman figure out how important they were oh vicens really working for the army then not for the cia so they were very cooperative the irr and the joint staff secretary so we just uh i was lucky enough to be the tip of the spear of a bigger effort the jfk act jeremy gunn getting getting them off of top dead center and civilian staffers in the joint staff secretariat who took the law seriously so i was very happy with them okay um i think we're going to wrap things up unless somebody has any concluding remark here okay we got a comment from uh one of our viewers great series bravo you all and it was a great series i mean i i know i'm biased we put this thing on but i gotta tell you guys this is one of the best conferences the future freedom foundation has ever put on we're just really really pleased with how it went i want to thank you all for your participation i want to thank all the speakers i know there's some speakers here that are not part of the panel we lost mike swanson i got a text from him saying that his computer locked up and he's having to reboot but i want to

thank you for participating in this i want to thank all our viewers uh keep in mind that all of these sessions are going to be online most of them are already on there all the way up through last week at fff.org this will be going up in the next few days and uh it's like i said in my concluding remark you know that our job is to seek the truth and it's to speak the truth and that's what we're doing in this we're not we're not looking for theories as the cia often uh goes out telling people you know conspiracy theory and stuff we're looking at where the evidence leads and this evidence

02:40:02 (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3Wr5yVyuahw&t=9602s>)

leads and this evidence in my opinion is is overwhelming much much more beyond the test used in a criminal case beyond a reasonable doubt this is beyond a doubt the evidence establishing certainly a fraudulent autopsy and then once you once you reach that conclusion there's no other conclusion that can be reached than that it was part of the cover-up of the national security state's regime change operation so thank you all very much and uh look forward to seeing you on the next one okay thanks thank you it was it was fun interesting too learned a lot myself great well thanks mike and thanks all of you and that'll adjourn our meetings [Music] you

END